Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Thermal-expansion analysis of  $KZnF_3:Mn^{2+}$  by EPR and absorption spectrum

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1994 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6 10279 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/6/47/011)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.151 The article was downloaded on 12/05/2010 at 21:11

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Thermal-expansion analysis of KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup> by EPR and absorption spectrum

Guo Sheng-Li, Liu Gao-Chao and Yao Shi-Bin

Department of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453002, People's Republic of China

Received 20 June 1994, in final form 8 September 1994

Abstract. The thermal expansion of the  $Mn^{2+}-F^-$  bond for  $KZnF_3:Mn^{2+}$  in the 15-300 K temperature range has been studied by the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and the absorption spectrum. In this way the linear thermal expansion  $\delta R = 16 \times 10^{-4}$  nm and the linear-thermal-expansion coefficient  $\alpha = (1/R)(dR/dT) = 27.1 \times 10^{-6} K^{-1}$  for  $Mn^{2+}-F^-$  are obtained at room temperature. The results are in good agreement with the values observed experimentally, indicating a successful interpretation of the crystal-field theory for the thermal expansion.

### 1. Introduction

KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup> has received particular attention as its cubic structure provides unusual opportunities for experimental and theoretical investigations. Its electron-paramagneticresonance (EPR) spectrum and absorption spectrum have been measured in [1-4]. In cubic symmetry there is only one zero-field splitting parameter a, which is related to the separation between the  $\Gamma_8$  and  $\Gamma_7$  energy levels of the ground <sup>6</sup>S state by  $3a = E(\Gamma_8) - E(\Gamma_7)$  [5]. It can be evaluated by means of diagonalization, as has also been done in [6-10]. Powell et al [11] found that the spin-spin coupling contributes a negligible value to a in comparison with the contribution resulting from the spin-orbit coupling. The main aims of the present work are (i) to obtain the energy levels and EPR cubic zero-field splitting parameter a of KZnF3:Mn<sup>2+</sup> in the 15-300 K temperature range by using the Hamiltonian matrices including the spin-orbit coupling, the crystal field and the Coulomb interaction; (ii) to discover whether the EPR cubic zero-field splitting parameter a is mainly dependent on the spin-orbit and crystal-field interactions within the ground <sup>6</sup>S and excited states; (iii) to find whether the effective Racah parameters B and C are independent of R in the range R = 0.2064 - 0.2080 nm in a very good first approximation while Dq depends significantly on  $R^{-5}$  for KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup>; (iv) to reveal the linear thermal expansion  $\delta R = 16 \times 10^{-4}$  nm and the thermal-expansion coefficient  $\alpha = (1/R)(dR/dT) = 27.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for the Mn<sup>2+</sup>-F<sup>-</sup> distance at room temperature; (v) to justify the results of the crystal-field theory in the explanation of the cubic zero-field splitting of <sup>6</sup>S-state ions in octahedral coordinations and the thermal-expansion effects around an impurity.

# 2. Theoretical analysis

# 2.1. Energy levels

Using the irreducible representation basis functions of the double group  $O_h$ , we obtain the spin-orbit coupling, the crystal-field and Coulomb interaction matrices of  $d^5$  configuration in the strong-field scheme of Sugano *et al* [12]. The Hamiltonian matrices include  $\Gamma_6$ ,  $\Gamma_7$ ,  $\Gamma_8$  representations. The matrix elements are expressions of the Racah parameters *B* and *C*, the crystal-field parameters Dq, and the spin-orbit coupling coefficient  $\xi$ .

In KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup>, the Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion is surrounded by six  $F^-$  ions, having O<sub>h</sub> point-group symmetry. The crystal-field potential of the d<sup>5</sup> configuration with O<sub>h</sub> symmetry is expressed as

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{5} -\frac{7\sqrt{\pi}}{3R^5} r_i^4 \left( Z_{40} + \frac{\sqrt{35}}{7} Z_{44}^c \right).$$
(1)

Here R is the bonding length,  $r_i$  are the coordinates of the d electrons in Mn<sup>2+</sup>;  $Z_{40}$  and  $Z_{44}^c$  denote real spherical harmonic functions.

We employ the parametrization d orbital for  $Mn^{2+}$  of Zhao *et al* [13, 14] and obtain the crystal-field parameter Dq

$$Dq = \frac{e^2 \langle r^4 \rangle}{6R^5} \left( 1 + \frac{5\mu}{eR} \right) \tag{2}$$

where e denotes the electronic charge,  $\langle r^4 \rangle$  the expectation value of  $r^4$ , and  $\mu$  the electric dipole moment. We employ the correlation formulae for  $\mu - \sigma$  [15]:

$$u/eR = 0.1666\sigma^{1.1} - 0.0296. \tag{3}$$

Here  $\sigma$  (=0.64×10<sup>-24</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>) is the electron polarizability of a ligand F<sup>-</sup>.

In our calculation, the parametrization d orbital for  $Mn^{2+}$  has been used, which gives [13, 14]:

$$B = 911 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
  $C = 3273 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $\xi = 336.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $\langle r^4 \rangle = 23.2594 \text{ au.}$  (4)

The crystal-field parameters can be obtained as long as we know the values of the bond lengths  $R_1 = 0.2064$  nm (T = 15 K) and  $R_2 = 0.2080$  nm (T = 300 K) for KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup> [16]. Substitution of these parameters into the Hamiltonian matrices yields the energy levels for KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup> (T = 15 K and T = 300 K) separately as given in table 1.

In table 1, the positions of crystal-field excitation peaks corresponding to  $KZnF_3:Mn^{2+}$  at temperature T = 15 K and at room temperature are reported. It can be seen that the position of the excitation peaks (<sup>4</sup>A<sub>1</sub> (G), <sup>4</sup>E (G) and <sup>4</sup>E (D)) which are independent of Dq remains unchanged within experimental errors. This supports the suggestion that we can take the effective Racah parameters B, C and the spin-orbit coupling coefficient  $\xi$  as being independent of R within the domain of distances explored.

## 2.2. EPR cubic zero-field splitting parameter a

One of the most important spin-Hamiltonian parameters of a  ${}^{6}S$ -state ion is the cubic zero-field splitting parameter a, which is defined by the spin Hamiltonian

$$H_{s} = (a/6)[S_{x}^{4} + S_{y}^{4} + S_{z}^{4} - \frac{1}{5}S(S+1)(3S^{2} + 3S - 1)].$$
(5)

The study of the EPR cubic zero-field splitting parameter a has become very interesting in crystal-field theory as an effective way of investigating the crystalline potentials at the

 $KZNF_3:Mn^{2+}$  (T = 15 K)  $KZnF_3:Mn^{2+}$  (T = 300 K) Transition <sup>6</sup>A<sub>1</sub> (S) Experiment [1] Theory Experiment [1] Theory to  ${}^{4}T_{1}$  (G) 18350 18340 18343 18365 18530 18520 18529 18555  ${}^{4}T_{2}(G)$ 22 590 22 459 22 628 22 721 22 830 22 660 22 837 22 932 <sup>4</sup>E (G) 25210 25210 and 25210 25212 25210 25212 <sup>4</sup>A<sub>J</sub> (G) 25214 25214  ${}^{4}T_{2}$  (D) 28 2 2 0 27 665 28 295 28 560 28 180 27706 28218 28258 <sup>4</sup>E (D) 30 080 30160 30175 30 0 80 30160 30175  ${}^{4}T_{1}$  (P) 32 830 32 429 33 143 33 213 32 750 32446 33019 33098

Table 1. The comparison of theoretical and experimental values (in  $cm^{-1}$ ). The bands calculated above 35 000  $cm^{-1}$  have not been listed.

magnetic ion sites. The parameter *a* measures the energy difference between the 22  $\times$  22 matrix of  $\Gamma_7$  and the 42  $\times$  42 matrix of  $\Gamma_8$  representations of ground state <sup>6</sup>S:

$$3a = E(\Gamma_8) - E(\Gamma_7). \tag{6}$$

Substituting the above parameters into the Hamiltonian matrices, we obtain the EPR cubic zero-field splitting parameter  $a_1 = 6.84 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (T = 15 K, experimental  $a_1 = 6.9(1) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , [2]) and  $a_2 = 6.21 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (T = 300 K, experimental  $a_2 = 6.3(1) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , [2]) for KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup>. The result is in good agreement with those observed experimentally, which indicates that the spin-spin coupling contributes a negligible value to a in comparison with the contribution resulting from the spin-orbit coupling and omitting the spin doublets reduces the calculated splitting by almost two orders of magnitude.

### 2.3. Thermal expansion

The properties due to a cation impurity in an ionic lattice mainly depend on the nature and number of nearest anions as well as on the true value of the impurity-ligand distance R. However, the determination of this important parameter and particularly of variations induced by temperature or pressure change is not easy.

In table 1, the crystal-field transitions involving excited states with  $S = \frac{3}{2}$  of cubic fluoroperovskites doped with  $Mn^{2+}$  are detected. Among these transitions the most sensitive to Dq is the first  ${}^{6}A_{1}$  (S)- ${}^{4}T_{1}$  (G) transition. Therefore in the present work we have followed the variations undergone by the  ${}^{4}T_{1}$  (G) excitation peak with temperature in order to derive from it the changes experienced in the  $Mn^{2+}$ -F<sup>-</sup> distance. The variation of the absorption spectrum has been followed for several different temperature values.

As a guide in figure 1 of [1], the  ${}^{4}T_{1}$  (G) peak energy versus temperature in the range 15–300 K is plotted. It can be seen that below 100 K the energy of this peak lies always at 18 340–18 365 cm<sup>-1</sup> within experimental error while above this temperature it increases progressively. The constancy of the  ${}^{4}T_{1}$  (G) peak energy below 100 K is consistent with the usual weakness of thermal-expansion effects in such a temperature region.

In figure 2 of [1], the value  $\delta R(T) = R(T) - R(0)$  derived from the corresponding variations of Dq for the MnF<sub>6</sub><sup>4-</sup> complex in KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup> is reported. The value of  $\delta R = 16 \times 10^{-4}$  nm agrees quite well with the experimental values  $\delta R = (12\pm1) \times 10^{-4}$  nm [1] and  $\delta R = (10\pm3) \times 10^{-4}$  nm previously derived from EPR [17] data for KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup>

at room temperature and therefore it supports the present analysis. In the present case the thermal-expansion coefficient  $\alpha = (1/R)(dR/dT) = 27.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$  (the experimental  $\alpha = (38 \pm 14) \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ) at room temperature for the Mn<sup>2+</sup>-F<sup>-</sup> distance is derived.

## 3. Results and discussion

The effective Racah parameters B and C are independent of R in a very good first approximation while Dq depends significantly on R, being proportional to  $R^{-5}$ .

The theoretical electronic transitions of the  $MnF_6^{4-}$  complex can be well obtained by means of the four usual parameters: the effective *B* and *C* Racah parameters, the cubic-field splitting Dq and the spin-orbit coupling coefficient  $\xi$ . Moreover, there are no free parameters at all and no fitting of parameters to data has been done.

The zero-field splitting parameter a for KZnF<sub>3</sub>:Mn<sup>2+</sup> was as the result of spin-orbit and crystal-field interactions within the ground <sup>6</sup>S and excited states.

It can be seen that the method of determining quantitatively the linear thermal expansion  $\delta R = 16 \times 10^{-4}$  nm and the thermal-expansion coefficient  $\alpha = (1/R)(dR/dT) = 27.1 \times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup> for the Mn<sup>2+</sup>-F<sup>-</sup> distance at room temperature from relevant EPR and optical spectra data is appropriate.

# References

- [1] Rodriguez F and Moreno M 1986 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 19 L513
- [2] Jeck R K and Krebs J J 1972 Phys. Rev. B 5 1677
- [3] Rousseau J J, Leble A and Fayet J C 1978 J. Physique 39 1215
- [4] Anjani M and Putcha V 1967 J. Chem. Phys. 47 2334
- [5] Abragam A and Bleaney B 1970 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [6] Yu W L and Rudowicz C 1992 Phys. Rev. B 45 9736
- [7] Yu W L 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 622
- [8] Kuang X Y and Chen Z H 1987 Phys. Rev. B 36 797
- [9] Gabriel J R, Johnston D F and Powell M J D 1961 Proc. R. Soc. 264 503
- [10] Rosengarten G and Low W 1964 J. Mol. Spectrosc. 12 319
- [11] Powell M J D, Gabriel J R and Johnston D F 1960 Phys. Rev. Lett. 5 145
- [12] Sugano S, Tanabe Y and Karnimuar H 1970 Multiplets of Transition-Metal Ions in Crystals (New York: Academic)
- [13] Zhao M G, Bai G R and Jin H C 1982 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 15 5959
- [14] Guo S L and Lu K Q 1989 Phys. Status Solidi b 153 K45
- [15] Zhao M G, Du M L and Sen G Y 1987 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 20 5557
- [16] Moreno M, Barriuso M T and Aramburu J A 1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 9481
- [17] Barriuso M T and Moreno M 1984 Phys. Rev. B 29 3623